Apr 19, 2007

STORY OF THE COPY OF GROWAL

FILED/ACCEPTED

MAY 152007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for wasvers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a) (1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Lonny Eachus 3627 E 26th Ave Spokane, WA 99223-5615

No of Copies are'n O

FOR IONNY BACHUS

Complaint Type:		Account Type	Congressional Complaint			
IC Number: Date Received'	07-10276133 04/19/2007	Case Type: Complainant:	lonny Bachus			
Date Entered: Entered By: Assigned To:	05/09/2007 PORTALSV1 Blaise Humes/FCCIN	Date Assigned: Date Reassigned: Service Date:	05/09/2007			
Date Closed: Closed By: Close Letter	Yes No	Response Date: Original Analyst: Purged By:	Purged Date:			
Needed7	· -	Removed By:	Removed Date:			
Supervisor Check	◯ Yes ● No	Indecency Referral Code:	Water 19			
Current Status:	Pending Analyst Review		nananastaili			
View Complaint	As	sociated Case:				
Yes<<< Check h	ere If you wish to serve both a V	Vireline and Wireless carrier.				
Title: None	First Name: lonny	Middle Initial:	Last Name: Bachus			
Contact Number: Fax Number: Email Address: PO Box:	Ext.	Consumer's Telephone N **N** Number: Internet Address: Address:	lumber: Ext. 3824 E 26Th Ave			
City:	Spokane	State: WA	` Zip: 99223			
On Behalf Of						
Company Name:						
Party's Name: Partv's Contact N	lumber: Ext.	PO Box: Address:				
Other Party that o	can be contacted?	Gity:State:Zip:				
Name:	<u> 20 00111401041</u>	Polationahin:				
Contact Number:	Ext.	Relationship: Address: City:, State: Zip:				
"Amount of credit	FCC effort generated:		ecked;○ Yes ● No			

Phone: Ext:

Have you paid any of the disputed charges?

Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?

If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?

b Telephone number for the carrier(s) or company(ies) involved

with your complaint, including area code:

c. Which type of service is involved with your complaint:

TCPA Information from 475

1 the telephone number of the individual or company who called or faxed you:				:
 your telephone number(s) on ways a description of the telemarketing identification of the company phone numbers that were incled the "opt-out" number(s) provide (List number(s) given in the call additional calls or faxes.) Have you: (a) purchased anyth (b) made an inquiry or applicated you the call or fax? If so, please (1) Date of Program: Time of Program: Network: Call Sign. Channel OR Freques (5) City and State Where Programs (6) Name of Program or DJ/Person Updated? 	Ext:	t:		
ANALYSIS SECTION				J
Correspondence Type:	○ Complaint ○ inquiry	Source Code:		
Apparent Carrier(s):		Re-Serve Carrier(s):		
Responding Carrier(s):		Assigned Subject Code:		
Activity Code:	Direct	Assigned Code Acronym:		
Final Responsible Party:		Sub-Category:	2	
		Additional Sub-Category:	2	
Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:	○ Yes ○ No			
Mediation with Carrier/Complainant?:	○ Yes ○ NO	Response Type:		
Referral Information Date Referred:				Consumer Referral Letter Agency Refe
Referred To:	Agency Name(s):	Company Name(s):		<u>2-1-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2</u>
		General Ackno	wiedgement	Create TC
			int Dismissal	TFAX E
		Constant date	Information	TFAX
			Enforcement	TFAX More

ONC - Exemption

Non DNC - More information

Actionable Case:	O Actionable	● NonActionable					
DNC Enforcement Letter Generated?	O Yes ● No	O Yes 🛡 No	TFAX Enforcement Letter Generated?				
DNC More Info Letter Generated?	O Yes ● No	○ Yes ● No	TFAX Exemption Letter Generated?				
DNC Exemption Letter Generated?	O Yes ● No	O Yes ● No	TFAX More Info Letter Generated?				
Non DNC More Info Latter Generated?							
<u>Deferment Information</u> Date Deferred:		Reason:	None				
Date UnDeferred:							
Extension Information:							
Extension Requested: Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes							
SERVE INFORMATION							
COMMENTS							
Comment History:							
DOCUMENT HISTORY							
Created by OSCARServer		Date 051091200	070600 PM				
Last Edited by		Date	MAAA AAA AAAA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA				